Doc 21

Dockets.Justia.com

Interserve, Inc. et a v. Fusion Garage PTE. LTD

1 REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE 2 Defendant Fusion Garage PTE Ltd. ("Fusion Garage") respectfully requests that the Court 3 take judicial notice pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201 of the following documents, copies of which are attached as exhibits to the Declaration of Patrick C. Doolittle filed concurrently 4 5 herewith: 6 Exhibit A: Michael Arrington, We Want a Dead Simple Web Tablet for \$200. Help Us Build It, TECHCRUNCH, July 21, 2008, http://www.techcrunch.com/2008/07/21 7 we-want-a-dead-simple-web-tablet-help-us-build-it/ (last accessed Jan. 27, 2010). 8 Exhibit B: Michael Arrington, Update on the TechCrunch Tablet: Prototype A, TECHCRUNCH, August 30, 2008, http://www.techcrunch.com/2008/08/30/update-9 on-the-techcrunch-tablet-prototype-a/ (last accessed Jan. 27, 2010). 10 Both of these documents were referenced and/or quoted in Plaintiffs' Complaint. (Dk. 1 at 3-4). It is appropriate to take judicial notice of documents that a plaintiff expressly refers to in its 11 12 complaint when ruling on a motion to dismiss or other motion on the pleadings. See, e.g., In re 13 Verifone Holdings, Inc. Sec. Litig., No. 07-6140, 2009 WL 1458211, \*1 n.1 (N.D. Cal. May 26, 2009) (granting judicial notice "as to those documents referenced in the complaint"); Myers-14 Armstrong v. Actavis Totowa, LLC, No. 08-4741, 2009 WL 1082026, \*5 n. 5 (N.D. Cal. April 22, 15 16 2009) ("Judicial notice of the full text of documents referenced in a complaint is proper under the 17 doctrine of incorporation by reference"); Lindner v. IBM Corp., No. 06-4751, 2008 WL 2461934, 18 \*1 n. 1 (S.D.N.Y. June 18, 2008) ("In considering a motion to dismiss, the Court may take judicial 19 notice of documents integral to or referred to in the complaint.") 20 21 DATED: January 28, 2010 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP 22 23 By /s/ Patrick Doolittle 24 Patrick C. Doolittle Attorneys for Certain Individual Defendants 25 26 27 28